



METAL INDUSTRY INDICATORS



April 1997

Indicators of Domestic Primary Metals, Steel, Aluminum, and Copper Activity

Growth in Leading Index of Metal Prices Slows

The leading index of metal prices edged down to 96.5 in February, the latest month for which data are available, almost unchanged from the 96.6 in January. The 6-month smoothed growth rate, a compound annual rate that measures the near-term trend, also dipped slightly, down to 0.2%, compared to 0.4% for January. The latest reading from the growth rate indicates that most metal prices will experience little or no growth in the near future.

The metals price leading index gives signals of major changes in price growth an average of 8 months in advance. It is constructed with the 6-month smoothed growth rates of four different economic activities that are sensitive to the business cycle and that are measures of demand for metals. A growth rate below -1.0% usually means decreasing growth, while a growth rate above +1.0% implies increasing growth.

A large increase in building permits authorized for new housing kept the February leading index near its January level. Contributions from the other two available indicators, the inflation-adjusted values of new orders for U.S. nonferrous metals and U.S. M2 money supply, were negative in February.

On the supply side, the deflated value of nonferrous metal products inventories held in the United States declined for the fifth straight month in February. February is the latest month for which this Census Bureau series is available. Lower inventories could be pointing to higher prices, but the amount of inventory decline was the lowest since last October. Metal price growth, as measured by the MII nonferrous metals price index, also slowed in March, after significant increases in January and February.

The latest leading indicators of economic growth for the United States and other industrialized countries, such as Germany, Japan, and France,¹ point to the possibility of higher growth in these countries later in the year. While better economic performance could boost metal prices, rising U.S. interest rates could dampen prospects for faster economic growth not only in the United States,

but other countries as well. The combined picture emerging from industry and national indicators implies a falloff in price growth for most metals.

The contribution in percentage points of each index component to the leading index percent change for February is as follows: new orders for U.S. *(continued on page 2)*

Leading Indicators

(6 months ago and latest month)

Leading Index of Metal Prices

Aug '96 96.4
Feb '97 96.5

Primary Metals Leading Index

Sept '96 120.2
Mar '97 125.1

Steel Leading Index

Aug '96 102.5
Feb '97 104.4

Aluminum Mill Products Leading Index

Aug '96 139.6
Feb '97 143.8

Copper Leading Index

Aug '96 117.9
Feb '97 121.1

NOTE: Historical data back to 1948 for 12 of the indexes in Metal Industry Indicators (MII) are now on the World Wide Web. The URL for the MII is: <http://minerals.er.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/mii/>

Outlook

The leading index indicates that most metal prices will experience little or no growth in the near future.

Moderate growth in overall primary metals activity should occur in the near term.

Slow near-term growth is expected for the domestic steel industry.

The leading indexes continue to point to moderate growth in the aluminum industries in the coming months.

The U.S. copper industry will likely grow at a modest pace in the short term.

¹Published by the Center for International Business Cycle Research at Columbia University.

(continued from page 1) nonferrous metals, -0.3; index of permits for new housing, 0.3; and U.S. M2 money supply, -0.1. The fourth index indicator, inflation-adjusted debt of U.S. nonfinancial sectors, was not available for the February index calculation.

It is important to recognize that the business cycle and inventories are only two factors in price determination. Other factors that affect prices include changes in the production of metals, speculation, strategic stockpiling, and production costs.

Foreign Exchange Rates and Metal Prices

Each month, the Federal Reserve Board publishes a trade-weighted index showing changes in the average exchange value of the U.S. dollar against the currencies of the other G-10 countries.² The G-10 countries comprise most of the world's large industrialized nations. When the index is rising, the value of the dollar, on average, is increasing against the other G-10 currencies.

One can obtain changes in the average value of the other G-10 currencies against the U.S. dollar by dividing the dollar index into the number one. This reciprocal relationship can be used to create an index whose growth either anticipates or follows the growth of the MII nonferrous metals price index. (See the special chart).

There are several theories why foreign exchange rates rise and fall, but none of these theories has consistently predicted changes in foreign exchange rates. In the short run, interest rates and economic performance appear to be the best reasons why a country's foreign exchange rate increases or decreases. Moreover, exchange rates depend not only on current market conditions and policies, but also on expected market conditions and policies.

With the exception of the United States, Canada, and Sweden, most G-10 countries *(continued on page 12)*

² The G-10 countries are actually eleven. They are Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, the Netherlands, Sweden, Switzerland, the United Kingdom, and the United States. They are the wealthiest members of the International Monetary Fund who provide most of the money to be loaned to developing countries.

Table 1.
Leading Index of Metal Prices and Growth Rates of the Nonferrous Metals Price Index, Inventories of Nonferrous Metal Products, and Selected Metal Prices

| | Leading Index of Metal Prices (1967=100) | Six-Month Smoothed Growth Rates | | | | |
|--------------------|--|----------------------------------|---|------------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | MI Nonferrous Metals Price Index | U.S. Nonferrous Metal Products Inventories (1982\$) | Primary Aluminum | Primary Copper | Steel Scrap |
| 1996 | | | | | | |
| February | 97.2r | -16.4 | 7.9 | -17.5 | -20.4 | 6.6 |
| March | 97.4r | -11.4 | 5.6 | -9.6 | -18.8 | -3.3 |
| April | 97.3r | -9.2 | 4.8 | -12.5 | -9.9 | -4.8 |
| May | 96.3r | -12.8 | 3.8 | -14.1 | -16.6 | 1.1 |
| June | 96.3r | -29.3 | 6.1 | -21.6 | -45.4 | -2.2 |
| July | 96.7r | -24.1 | 10.6 | -16.6 | -39.9 | -7.6 |
| August | 96.4r | -20.9 | 10.7 | -15.6 | -33.3 | -5.8 |
| September | 95.4r | -26.9 | 10.1 | -23.5 | -37.6 | -1.3 |
| October | 95.1r | -21.1 | 8.2r | -16.6 | -31.7 | -13.3 |
| November | 95.7r | 2.2 | 6.1 | -2.8 | 11.8 | -26.3 |
| December | 96.0r | -6.9 | 2.9r | -2.0 | -11.2 | -21.8 |
| 1997 | | | | | | |
| January | 96.6 | 6.5 | -1.3r | 9.8 | 6.6 | -6.6 |
| February | 96.5 | 11.0 | -2.5 | 12.7 | 10.5 | 3.7 |
| March | NA | 10.4 | NA | 10.1 | 11.2 | -3.3 |
| <i>r - Revised</i> | | | | | | |
| Note: | The components of the Leading Index of Metal Prices are the 6-month smoothed growth rates of the following: 1, the deflated value of new orders for nonferrous metals; 2, the deflated value of total debt of U.S. nonfinancial sectors; 3, the index of new private housing units authorized; and 4, the deflated value of U.S. M2 money supply. The Metal Industry Indicators (MII) Nonferrous Metals Price Index measures changes in end-of-the-month prices for primary aluminum, copper, lead, and zinc traded on the London Metal Exchange (LME). The steel scrap price used is the price of No. 1 heavy melting. Inventories consist of the deflated value of finished goods, work in progress, and raw materials for U.S.-produced nonferrous metals and nonferrous metal products. Six-month smoothed growth rates are based on the ratio of the current month's index or price to its average over the preceding 12 months, expressed at a compound annual rate. | | | | | |
| Sources: | U.S. Geological Survey (USGS); American Metal Market (AMM); the London Metal Exchange (LME); and the Bureau of the Census | | | | | |

Link To:

Chart 1.

Table 2.
The Primary Metals Industry Indexes and Growth Rates

| | Leading Index | | Coincident Index | |
|-------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|
| | (1977 = 100) | Growth Rate | (1977 = 100) | Growth Rate |
| 1996 | | | | |
| April | 120.1 | 3.5r | 106.7 | 2.1 |
| May | 120.3r | 3.2 | 107.0 | 2.5 |
| June | 120.6r | 3.0r | 107.2 | 2.5r |
| July | 119.7 | 1.2 | 107.7 | 3.2 |
| August | 120.5r | 2.1r | 108.5 | 4.0 |
| September | 120.2 | 1.5 | 108.5 | 3.6 |
| October | 120.3 | 1.5 | 109.3 | 4.5r |
| November | 120.6r | 1.5r | 108.7r | 2.9r |
| December | 122.0r | 3.5r | 109.3r | 3.5r |
| 1997 | | | | |
| January | 122.0r | 3.0r | 109.3r | 2.9 |
| February | 123.3r | 4.4r | 109.9 | 3.5 |
| March | 125.1 | 6.7 | NA | NA |

r - Revised

Note: Growth rates are expressed as compound annual rates based on the ratio of the current month's index to the average index during the preceding 12 months.

Table 3.
The Contribution of Each Primary Metals Index Component to the Percent Change in the Index from the Previous Month

| Leading Index | | February | March |
|--|--|----------|----------|
| 1. Average weekly hours, primary metals (SIC 33) | | 0.3r | 0.8 |
| 2. S&P stock price index, machinery, diversified | | 0.2r | 0.2 |
| 3. Ratio of price to unit labor cost (SIC 33) | | 0.3 | NA |
| 4. JOC metals price index growth rate | | 0.1r | 0.0 |
| 5. New orders, primary metals, (SIC 33) 1982\$ | | -0.1 | NA |
| 6. Index of new private housing units authorized by permit | | 0.1 | NA |
| 7. Growth rate of U.S. M2 money supply, 1992\$ | | -0.1 | NA |
| 8. Purchasing Managers' Index | | 0.1r | 0.4 |
| Trend adjustment | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Percent change (except for rounding differences) | | 0.9r | 1.4 |
| Coincident Index | | January | February |
| 1. Industrial production index, primary metals (SIC 33) | | -0.2r | 0.4 |
| 2. Total employee hours, primary metals (SIC 33) | | -0.1 | 0.2 |
| 3. Value of shipments, primary metals, (SIC 33) 1982\$ | | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Trend adjustment | | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Percent change (except for rounding differences) | | 0.0r | 0.7 |

Sources: Leading: 1, Bureau of Labor Statistics; 2, Standard & Poor's; 3, Center for International Business Cycle Research, Bureau of Labor Statistics, and Federal Reserve Board; 4, Journal of Commerce; 5, Bureau of the Census and U.S. Geological Survey; 6, Bureau of the Census and U.S. Geological Survey; 7, Federal Reserve Board, Conference Board, and U.S. Geological Survey; and 8, National Association of Purchasing Management. Coincident: 1, Federal Reserve Board; 2, Bureau of Labor Statistics and U.S. Geological Survey; 3, Bureau of the Census and U.S. Geological Survey. All series are seasonally adjusted, except 2, 3, and 4 of the leading index.

NA: Not available r - Revised

Note: A component's contribution, shown in Tables 3, 5, 7, and 9, measures its effect, in percentage points, on the percent change in the index. Each month, the sum of the contributions plus the trend adjustment equals (except for rounding differences) the index's percent change from the previous month.

Links To:

Chart 2.

Chart 3.

Table 4.
The Steel Industry Indexes and Growth Rates

| | Leading Index | | Coincident Index | |
|-------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|
| | (1977 = 100) | Growth Rate | (1977 = 100) | Growth Rate |
| 1996 | | | | |
| March | 103.0r | 1.4r | 97.5 | 0.4 |
| April | 103.6 | 2.4r | 98.0 | 1.3 |
| May | 103.8r | 2.3r | 98.3 | 1.9 |
| June | 103.9 | 2.0 | 98.8 | 2.7 |
| July | 102.9 | -0.2r | 99.0 | 2.7 |
| August | 102.5 | -1.1r | 98.6 | 1.5 |
| September | 102.5 | -1.1r | 98.6 | 1.2 |
| October | 101.9r | -2.1r | 99.2 | 2.2 |
| November | 102.7r | -0.6r | 98.5r | 0.6r |
| December | 103.4r | 0.6 | 98.9 | 1.2 |
| 1997 | | | | |
| January | 103.8r | 1.3r | 99.3 | 1.8 |
| February | 104.4 | 2.4 | 99.5 | 1.7 |

r - Revised

Note: Growth rates are expressed as compound annual rates based on the ratio of the current month's index to the average index during the preceding 12 months.

Table 5.
The Contribution of Each Steel Index Component to the Percent Change in the Index from the Previous Month

| Leading Index | January | February |
|---|---------|----------|
| 1. Average weekly hours, blast furnaces and basic steel products (SIC 331) | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| 2. New orders, steel works, blast furnaces, and rolling and finishing mills, 1982\$, (SIC 331) | 0.0r | 0.0 |
| 3. Shipments of household appliances, 1982\$ | -0.1r | 0.3 |
| 4. S&P stock price index, steel companies | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 5. Industrial production index for automotive products | 0.2r | 0.0 |
| 6. Growth rate of the price of steel scrap (#1 heavy melting, \$/ton) | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 7. Index of new private housing units authorized by permit | -0.1 | 0.1 |
| 8. Growth rate of U.S. M2 money supply, 1992\$ | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| 9. Purchasing Managers' Index | -0.2 | 0.1 |
| Trend adjustment | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Percent change (except for rounding differences) | 0.3r | 0.5 |
| Coincident Index | | |
| 1. Industrial production index, basic steel and mill products (SIC 331) | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| 2. Value of shipments, steel works, blast furnaces, and rolling and finishing mills (SIC 331), 1982\$ | 0.1r | -0.1 |
| 3. Total employee hours, blast furnaces and basic steel products (SIC 331) | 0.2 | -0.2 |
| Trend adjustment | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Percent change (except for rounding differences) | 0.4r | 0.2 |

Sources: Leading: 1, Bureau of Labor Statistics; 2, Bureau of the Census and U.S. Geological Survey; 3, Bureau of the Census and U.S. Geological Survey; 4, Standard & Poor's; 5, Federal Reserve Board; 6, Journal of Commerce and U.S. Geological Survey; 7, Bureau of the Census and U.S. Geological Survey; 8, Federal Reserve Board, Conference Board, and U.S. Geological Survey; and 9, National Association of Purchasing Management. Coincident: 1, Federal Reserve Board; 2, Bureau of the Census and U.S. Geological Survey; 3, Bureau of Labor Statistics and U.S. Geological Survey. All series are seasonally adjusted, except 4 and 6 of the leading index.

NA: Not available r - Revised

Links To:

Chart 4.

Chart 5.

Table 6.
The Aluminum Mill Products Industry Indexes and Growth Rates

| | Leading Index | | Coincident Index | |
|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| | (1977 = 100) | Growth Rate | (1977 = 100) | Growth Rate |
| 1996 | | | | |
| March | 137.0r | 2.0r | 123.5 | 1.6 |
| April | 138.5 | 3.6r | 122.6 | 0.3 |
| May | 139.4r | 4.1r | 123.6 | 1.9 |
| June | 139.7r | 3.7 | 121.8 | -0.8 |
| July | 139.2r | 2.3r | 123.3 | 1.7 |
| August | 139.6r | 2.3 | 124.3 | 3.1 |
| September | 141.1r | 4.1r | 125.4 | 4.5 |
| October | 138.5r | 0.2 | 123.8 | 1.7 |
| November | 140.1r | 2.2r | 124.5r | 2.6r |
| December | 140.7r | 2.9 | 125.0r | 3.2r |
| 1997 | | | | |
| January | 141.5r | 3.6r | 125.7r | 3.7r |
| February | 143.8 | 6.0 | 126.1 | 3.4 |

r - Revised

Note: Growth rates are expressed as compound annual rates based on the ratio of the current month's index to the average index during the preceding 12 months.

Table 7.
The Contribution of Each Aluminum Mill Products Index Component to the Percent Change in the Index from the Previous Month

| Leading Index | January | February |
|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1. Average weekly hours, aluminum sheet, plate, and foil (SIC 3353) | 0.6r | 0.4 |
| 2. Index of new private housing units authorized by permit | -0.1 | 0.2 |
| 3. Industrial production index for automotive products | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 4. Construction contracts, commercial and industrial (mil. sq. ft.) | -0.3 | 0.3 |
| 5. Net new orders for aluminum mill products (mil. lbs.) | 0.1r | 0.4 |
| 6. Growth rate of U.S. M2 money supply, 1992\$ | 0.1 | -0.1 |
| 7. Purchasing Managers' Index | -0.2 | 0.1 |
| Trend adjustment | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Percent change (except for rounding differences) | 0.5r | 1.5 |
| Coincident Index | | |
| 1. Industrial production index, aluminum sheet, plate, and foil (SIC 3353) | -0.2r | 0.0 |
| 2. Total employee hours, aluminum sheet, plate, and foil (SIC 3353) | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 3. Shipments of aluminum mill products (mil. lbs.) | 0.3r | 0.0 |
| Trend adjustment | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Percent change (except for rounding differences) | 0.6r | 0.3 |

Sources: Leading: 1, Bureau of Labor Statistics; 2, Bureau of the Census and U.S. Geological Survey; 3, Federal Reserve Board; 4, F.W. Dodge, Division of McGraw-Hill Information Systems Company; 5, The Aluminum Association, Inc. and U.S. Geological Survey; 6, Federal Reserve Board, Conference Board, and U.S. Geological Survey; 7, National Association of Purchasing Management. Coincident: 1, Federal Reserve Board; 2, Bureau of Labor Statistics and U.S. Geological Survey; 3, Bureau of the Census and U.S. Geological Survey. All series are seasonally adjusted.

NA: Not Available r - Revised

Links To:

Chart 6.

Chart 7.

Table 8.
The Copper Industry Indexes and Growth Rates

| | Leading Index | | Coincident Index | |
|-------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|
| | (1977 = 100) | Growth Rate | (1977 = 100) | Growth Rate |
| 1996 | | | | |
| March | 118.5 | 3.0 | 111.9 | 0.1 |
| April | 119.3 | 4.0 | 112.6 | 1.2 |
| May | 119.2 | 3.2 | 112.6 | 1.0 |
| June | 118.1 | 1.0 | 112.6 | 0.9 |
| July | 118.0 | 0.6 | 113.0 | 1.5 |
| August | 117.9 | 0.3 | 112.3 | 0.0 |
| September | 118.0 | 0.4 | 114.0 | 2.8 |
| October | 118.4 | 0.9 | 115.2 | 4.4 |
| November | 120.4 | 3.7 | 113.4r | 1.0r |
| December | 119.8 | 2.3 | 114.3r | 2.4r |
| 1997 | | | | |
| January | 119.7 | 2.0r | 113.3r | 0.5r |
| February | 121.1 | 3.7 | 114.2 | 1.7 |

r - Revised

Note: Growth rates are expressed as compound annual rates based on the ratio of the current month's index to the average index during the preceding 12 months.

Table 9.
The Contribution of Each Copper Index Component to the Percent Change in the Index from the Previous Month

| Leading Index | January | February |
|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1. Average weekly overtime hours, rolling, drawing, and extruding of copper (SIC 3351) | -0.4 | 0.1 |
| 2. New orders, nonferrous and other primary metals, 1982\$ | 0.4 | -0.1 |
| 3. MII stock price index, copper companies | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 4. Ratio of shipments to inventories, electronic and other electrical equipment (SIC 36) | -0.4 | 0.8 |
| 5. Growth rate of the LME spot price of primary copper | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| 6. Index of new private housing units authorized by permit | -0.1 | 0.2 |
| Trend adjustment | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Percent change (except for rounding differences) | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| Coincident Index | | |
| 1. Industrial production index, primary smelting and refining of copper (SIC 3331) | -0.1r | 0.2 |
| 2. Total employee hours, rolling, drawing, and extruding of copper (SIC 3351) | -1.0r | 0.5 |
| 3. Copper refiners' shipments (short tons) | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Trend adjustment | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Percent change (except for rounding differences) | -0.8r | 0.9 |

Sources: Leading: 1, Bureau of Labor Statistics; 2, Bureau of the Census and U.S. Geological Survey; 3, U.S. Geological Survey; 4, Bureau of the Census and U.S. Geological Survey; 5, London Metal Exchange and U.S. Geological Survey; 6, Bureau of the Census and U.S. Geological Survey. Coincident: 1, Federal Reserve Board; 2, Bureau of Labor Statistics; 3, American Bureau of Metal Statistics, Inc. and U.S. Geological Survey. All series are seasonally adjusted, except 3 and 5 of the leading index.

NA: Not available r - Revised

Links To:

Chart 8.

Chart 9.

Link To Special Chart

(continued from page 2) import large quantities of nonferrous metals. Moreover, LME prices for metals are dollars denominated. When the dollar rises against other G-10 currencies, metals become more expensive for the other G-10 countries, because they have to pay more in their currencies to buy U.S. dollars. If economic growth is weak in these countries, the quantity of metals imported by them may fall.

A strong dollar also hurts U.S. manufacturers. If a U.S. manufacturer attempts to sell durable equipment overseas, for example, a strong dollar erodes the competitiveness of the manufacturer against foreign rivals.

As the special chart shows, growth in the average value of G-10 currencies against the U.S. dollar has declined dramatically since last December. This decline may be reflecting the continued weakness in the G-10 economies, which, in turn, may explain why metal price growth is slowing.

Growth in Leading Indexes Is Strong But Other Factors May Limit Increases in Metals Activity

The growth rate of the primary metals leading index has moved up to its highest level in almost three years. Growth in the other metal industry leading indexes is also generally higher than during the last half of 1996. Normally, this would be a clear signal of strength in the domestic metals industry.

However, other factors, such as rising interest rates, the strength of the U.S. dollar relative to other major currencies, and high rates of capacity utilization in the U.S. metal industries suggest a more cautious forecast. If the U.S. dollar continues to remain strong, for example, U.S. imports of some metals may increase. This is especially likely, given

the capacity limitations in the U.S. metal industries.

The primary metals leading index is the only metal industry leading index that is available for March. It advanced 1.5% to 125.1 from a revised 123.3 in February. Increases in the other leading indexes ranged from 1.6% for aluminum mill products and 1.2% for copper, to 0.6% for both steel and primary and secondary aluminum. (Tables and charts for the primary and secondary aluminum indexes are in a separate file.)

The average workweek in primary metals establishments contributed to much of the growth in the primary metals leading index in February and March. The average workweek also helped push the leading indexes for the aluminum mill products and primary and secondary aluminum industries higher in February.

Growth in the February leading indexes for steel, aluminum mill products, and copper also came from other indicators that measure shipments, new orders, and construction contracts. Increased shipments of household appliances was the largest factor in the rise of the steel leading index. New orders and commercial and industrial construction contracts boosted the aluminum mill products leading index. And the ratio of shipments to inventories for electronic and electrical equipment was responsible for two-thirds of the increase in the copper leading index.

Based on the performance of the metal industry leading indexes and in light of the limiting factors discussed earlier, the overall primary metals industry should continue to grow at a moderate pace in the near term. Slow near-term growth is likely for the steel industry, while the nonferrous metal industries should experience more moderate growth, overall, in the coming months.

The next Metal Industry Indicators summary is scheduled for release on MINES FaxBack at 10:00 a.m. EDT, Friday, May 16. Access MINES FaxBack from a touch-tone telephone attached to a fax machine by dialing 703-648-4999.

The **Metal Industry Indicators** is produced at the U.S. Geological Survey by the Minerals Information Team. The report is prepared by George Swisko (703-648-4912), Gail James (703-648-4915), e-mail (gjames@usgs.gov), and Kenneth A. Beckman (703-648-4916), e-mail (kbeckman@usgs.gov). The Center for International Business Cycle Research at Columbia University and the former U.S. Bureau of Mines developed the metal industry leading and coincident indexes. Customers can send mail concerning the Metal Industry Indicators to the following address:

U.S. Geological Survey
Minerals Information Team
988 National Center
Reston, Virginia 20192